2 3

1

4

5

6 7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

20

19

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

* * *

German Gonzalez,

Plaintiffs.

v.

Clark County Detention Center,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:24-cv-02387-RFB-DJA

Order

Plaintiff German Gonzalez filed an application to proceed in forma pauperis (which means without paying the filing fee). (ECF No. 1). However, Plaintiff's application is missing certain information. The Court thus denies Plaintiff's application without prejudice.

Discussion. I.

Under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1), a plaintiff may bring a civil action "without prepayment of fees or security therefor" if the plaintiff submits a financial affidavit that demonstrates the plaintiff "is unable to pay such fees or give security therefor." The Ninth Circuit has recognized that "there is no formula set forth by statute, regulation, or case law to determine when someone is poor enough to earn [in forma pauperis] status." Escobedo v. Applebees, 787 F.3d 1226, 1235 (9th Cir. 2015). An applicant need not be destitute to qualify for a waiver of costs and fees, but he must demonstrate that because of his poverty he cannot pay those costs and still provide himself with the necessities of life. Adkins v. E.I DuPont de Nemours & Co., 335 U.S. 331, 339 (1948).

The applicant's affidavit must state the facts regarding the individual's poverty "with some particularity, definiteness and certainty." *United States v. McQuade*, 647 F.2d 938, 940 (9th Cir. 1981) (citation omitted). If an individual is unable or unwilling to verify his or her poverty, district courts have the discretion to make a factual inquiry into a plaintiff's financial status and to deny a request to proceed in forma pauperis. See, e.g., Marin v. Hahn, 271

7 8

19 20

24 25

23

27

28

26

Fed.Appx. 578 (9th Cir. 2008) (finding that the district court did not abuse its discretion by denying the plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis because he "failed to verify his poverty adequately"). "Such affidavit must include a complete statement of the plaintiff's personal assets." Harper v. San Diego City Admin. Bldg., No. 16-cv-00768 AJB (BLM), 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 192145, at *1 (S.D. Cal. June 9, 2016). Misrepresentation of assets is sufficient grounds for denying an in forma pauperis application. Cf. Kennedy v. Huibregtse, 831 F.3d 441, 443-44 (7th Cir. 2016) (affirming dismissal with prejudice after litigant misrepresented assets on in forma pauperis application).

In response to question two, Plaintiff claims to make no money from any source. While Plaintiff explains that he just started a new job and has not yet received a paycheck, Plaintiff does not explain how much he expects to receive in the future and does not provide his employer's name and address. Plaintiff also responds "non" to question six, which asks whether he has any housing, transportation, utilities, loan payments, or other regularly monthly expenses. However, on the docket, Plaintiff provides an address. The Court takes judicial notice of the fact that public records reveal the address is a house. But Plaintiff does not provide any details in his application regarding how he pays rent or a mortgage, how he pays utilities or other bills, or how he lives considering his claim to have no money and no bills. The Court thus finds that Plaintiff has omitted information from the application. As a result, the Court cannot determine whether Plaintiff qualifies for *in forma pauperis* status.

The Court will give Plaintiff one opportunity to file a complete in forma pauperis application. The Court further orders that Plaintiff may not respond with a zero or "not applicable" in response to any question without providing an explanation for each of the questions. Plaintiff also may not leave any questions blank. Plaintiff must describe each source of money that he receives, state the amount he received, and what he expects to receive in the future.

The Court denies Plaintiff's in forma pauperis application without prejudice. The Court gives Plaintiff 30 days to file an updated application. Plaintiff must fully answer all applicable

Document 3

Filed 02/07/25

Page 3 of 3

Case 2:24-cv-02387-RFB-DJA

information/forms/ under Code AO 240.

28

Page 3 of 3